Joan Vaughn Joan Vaughn

To: Date:

Thu, Feb 13,2003 3:21 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Sen. Wyden Sen. Smith Rep. DeFazio

Message text follows:

Joan Vaughn 94260 Horton rd. Blachly, **OR** 97412

February 13,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, **I** urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Joan Vaughn

From: Joe Riley To: Joe Riley

Date: Thu, Feb 13,2003 10:48 PM

Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients: Senator Durbin Senator Fbgerald Representative Schakowsky Message text follows:

Joe Riley 8711 W. Bryn Mawr Ave Unit 602 Chicago, IL 60631-3675

February 13,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Joe Riley

From: John Irish To: John Irish

Date: Thu, Feb 13,2003 5:22 PM

Subject: Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients: Senator McCain Senator Kyl Representative Franks Message text follows:

John Irish 9415 **N.** 99th Ave., Apt. 2067 Peoria, AZ 85345

February 13.2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices **as** a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, **I** urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

John Irish

From: John Erb

To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

**Date:** Thu, Feb 13,2003 2:08 PM

Subject: ILEC Bells

I am stunned by the reports that you are considering giving in to the ILECs based on their promise that they alone can make investments in high speed internet access.

On what basis is this at all believable? Their track record is pathetic. They are only offering DSL now because CLEC competitors began to offer it.

Please preserve line sharing and market competition for the ILECs.

John Erb

John Mora

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Thu, Feb 13,2003 2:02 PM

Subject:

Linesharing Removal Hurts Broadband AND Local Voice Competition

Dear Commissioner Adelstein,

I am concerned about recent reports which indicate that linesharing may not be federally mandated in current drafts of the favored plan initiated by NARUC and modified by Commissioner Martin.

A potentially unintended consequence of linesharing removal would be the ability of the Bells to close certain lines to local voice competition. The Bells currently have millions of DSL customers — removal of linesharing would mean that local competitors could not lease the voice segment of the line without losing their DSL service. In many areas, RBOC DSL is the only form of broadband available.

As a consumer, linesharing is very important to me because it removes the barrier of the last mile (which would be impossible for any competitor to replicate), and allows competitors to offer DSL at competitive rates. In fact, I have seen a gradual decrease in rates, from over \$200/month in 1998, to just around \$40/month currently. This reduction in price is a direct result of competition.

Simply put, removal of linesharingwould lead to higher prices for broadband internet access, **less** competition for local voice service, and slower deployment of next generation broadband solutions. I hope you take these critical factors into consideration when making your decision.

Thank you for your attention.

Best Regards, John Mora

Joseph Tabachnick Joseph Tabachnick

To: Date:

Thu, Feb 13,200311:35 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Specter Senator Santorum Representative Pitts Message text follows:

Joseph Tabachnick 206 Crosslands DR. Kennett Square, PA 19348-2021

Februaty 13,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Joseph Tabachnick

Joseph Fournier

To: Date: Joseph Fournier Thu, Feb 13,2003 3:47 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Levin Senator Stabenow Representative Stupak Message text follows:

Joseph Fournier 4501 N. Shore Drive Menominee, MI 49858

February 13,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Joseph J. Fournier

Joseph S. Steigelman Joseph S. Steigelman

To: Date:

Thu, Feb 13,2003 1:56 PM

**Subject:** 

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Frist

RepresentativeWamp

Message text follows:

Joseph S.Steigelman 461 Shannon Dr. Hixson, TN 37343

February 13,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was insetted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Joseph S. Steigelman

From: To: Joseph S. Steigelman Joseph S. Steigelman

Date:

Thu, Feb 13,2003 1:56 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Alexander Message text follows:

Joseph S. Steigelman 461 Shannon Dr. Hixson. TN 37343

February 13,2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Joseph S. Steigelman

Karalyn Shima

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Thu, Feb **13,2003** 9:49 AM

**Subject:** 

PLEASE SAVE THE UNE-PLATFORM

Good morning Chairman Adelstein.

Thank you for your time and consideration in reading the very important attached letter regarding the availability of the UNE-P.

Karalyn Shima Marketing Representative Access One, Inc. P: 312 441 1000 x936 F: 312441 1010 www.AccessOneInc.com



February 13th, 2003

Dear Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein:

I ask your support for the continued availability of the "UNE-Platform."

My company, Access One, offers local telephone service in select SBC territories. The company has achieved increasing success largely because it utilizes the combination of "unbundled network elements" – the UNE-Platform •to serve customers. It is absolutely critical that we have continued access to the UNE-Platform to remain competitive.

Unfortunately, the Regional Bell Operating Companies have launched a full-scale attack on the UNE-Platform, realizing it is a major threat to their continued market dominance. Their strategy is to impose certain restrictions on individual network elements that would destroy the competitive value of the UNE-Platform. If the RBOCs succeed, it will all hut end any chance for consumers to enjoy the benefits of meaningful competition in local phone service.

Please oppose any effort at the Federal Communications Commission or at state agencies to limit the availability of the UNE-Platform. The UNE-Platform should he firmly and permanently established as a viable service option for competitive telecom carriers.

Thank you very much for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Karalyn Shima Marketing Representative Access One Incorporated

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN From: To:

Date: Subject: Thu, Feb 13,200312:24 AM Fwd: TR Review

From: Chlo

Chloe6172@aol.com Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Thu, Feb 13,200312:24 AM

Subject: TR Review

Dear Commissioner:

Please keep line sharing as it is

Anything less will lead to less choice and competition and higher prices for customers and small businesses for broadband service.

Additionally, the deployment of broadbandwould ultimately be slowed across the country thus delaying key benefits that would help our economy.

Thanks you.

Marilyn Sands Wyomissing. PA

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN From: To:

Date: Thu, Feb 13,2003 1:38 AM

Subject: Fwd: Don't remove 'LINE-SHARING (high frequency portion of voice lines). From: andrew.mcneill@if.dk
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Thu, Feb 13,2003 1:38 AM

**Subject:** Don't remove 'LINE-SHARING' (high frequency portion of voice lines).

Don't remove 'LINE-SHARING (high frequency portion of voice lines).

This is the only means of bringing competition into the local residential DSL market.

Any fool can see that.

Andrew McNeill

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN From:

To:

Date: Thu, Feb 13,2003 6:11 AM

Subject: Fwd: line sharing From: EfflerInv@aol.com

To: Commissioner Adelstein, Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps. KM

**KJMWEB** 

Date: Thu, Feb 13,2003 6:11 AM

Subject: line sharing

Please continue line sharing for high speed Internet. (DSL)

Don't give this back to the Bells.

- 1) The Bells made their agreement with Senator Hollings, in exchange for long distance.
- 2) Very bad for the country and broadband to return that competitive advantage to the Bells.
- 3) Keep the last mile open.

If you give control of last mile to the Bells, you can say good-bye to residential broadband..and growth. Which will have a direct effect on home based business....a trend in this country, which helps parents take care of their children instead of day care. DSL will be priced out of range. Haven't you learned by now the Bells have no integrity? What ever empty promises they may give you, won't be kept or enforced by you or any other future Chairman or Commissioners regardless of your admirable intentions.

I do not want the Bells to have a competitive advantage, or monopoly to my house or business.

Thank you for reading this mail.

This is a historic time. How do you want history to view you during the time of broadband and the Internet revaluation? As an American, or an extention of the Bell office. Please do the right thing...not the Bell thing.

Respectfully, American, Tax payer, Voter, concerned citizen Randall Effler

Kathleen Abernathy KAQUINN From:

To:

Thu, Feb 13,2003 7:54 AM Date: Subject: Fwd: re: the TR review

From: STOCKZRUS@aol.com
To: Kathleen Abernathy

Date: Thu, Feb 13,2003 7:54 AM

Subject: re: the TR review

Save the American consumer

Vote to KEEP LINESHARING

Vote to KEEP COMPETITION ALIVE IN AMERICA

Vote to ALLOW CLECS ACCESS TO THE LAST MILE

Thank you, a concerned voter and consumer of

Kathleen Abernathy

To:

KAQUINN

Date:

Thu, Feb 13,2003 8:03 AM

Subject:

Fwd: Vote to protect the consumer!

FishermanBOZ@aol.com

To:

Mike Powell

Date: Subject: Thu, Feb 13,2003 8:03 AM Vote to protect the consumer!

Keep CLEC access to last mile copper

Keep LINESHARING for COMPETIVE CARRIERS

Keep AMERICAN CONSUMERS ALIVE AND WELL

Keep DSL COMPETITIVE NO MORE BELLE MONOPOLIES

Thank You,

CC:

 ${\it Kathleen\,Abernathy,\,Michael\,Copps,\,KM\,\,KJMWEB,\,Commissioner\,Adelstein}$ 

From: Kathleen Abernathy

KAQUINN To:

Thu, Feb 13,2003 8:12 AM Fwd: Line sharing Date:

Subject:

BUTCHBETZ@aol.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Thu, Feb 13,2003 8:12 AM

Subject:

Line sharing

Please keep line sharing as is.

Eliminating line sharing will lead to less choice and competition, and higher prices for consumers and small business for broadband services.

It also would slow the penetration of broadband services across the country delaying key benefits that can help the economy

Thank You

RichardBetz